Demonstration and Evaluation of Cross-Border Service Continuity for Connected and Automated Mobility (CAM) Services

Mazen Abdel Latif, Maciej Muehleisen (Ericsson)

Fabien Coulet, Daniel Giemsa, Jonas Vogt, Horst Wieker (ITS Research Group (FGVT), HTW saar, University of Applied Sciences)

Ulf Larson, Johan Löfhede, Mikael Nilsson, Henrik Segesten, Hongxia Zhao *(Volvo Car Corporation)* 





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 825050-5GCroCo

- Motivation
- Cross-border/-MNO handover
- Use case: HD mapping
- TCP performance with small files
- Trials setup and results
- Conclusion

### Motivation

- Moving between Public Land Mobile Networks (PLMNs) results in a long connection interruption (up to minutes)
- Frequent phenomenon in automotive use cases:
  - Particularly frequent at **country borders**, especially with open borders, e.g., Europe
  - Connected automotive services can suffer from long interruptions → operational and safety issues
  - →Important topic for automotive and telecom industries, focus of different projects: **5GCroCo**, 5G-MOBIX, 5G-CARMEN, 5G-ROUTES, 5G-Blueprint, 5GMed, and 5GRAIL



# Cross-border/-MNO Handover

MNO: Mobile Network Operator

- Seamless inter-PLMN handover, 3GPP requirement (3GPP TS 22.278)
- Involved interfaces:
  - Roaming interfaces: S6a, S8
  - S10 between MMEs
  - $\rightarrow$  similar to handover between MMEs in one PLMN
- Practical challenges: Information exchange between PLMNs about cell IDs and frequencies



1

# Cross-border/-MNO Handover

MNO: Mobile Network Operator

- Seamless inter-PLMN handover, 3GPP requirement (3GPP TS 22.278)
- Involved interfaces:
  - Roaming interfaces: S6a, S8
  - S10 between MMEs
  - ightarrow similar to handover between MMEs in one PLMN
- Practical challenges: Information exchange between PLMNs about cell IDs and frequencies
- Home routed roaming: P-GW connection to data network maintained after handover



# Cross-border/-MNO Handover

MNO: Mobile Network Operator

- Seamless inter-PLMN handover, 3GPP requirement (3GPP TS 22.278)
- Involved interfaces:
  - Roaming interfaces: S6a, S8
  - S10 between MMEs
  - ightarrow similar to handover between MMEs in one PLMN
- Practical challenges: Information exchange between PLMNs about cell IDs and frequencies
- Home routed roaming: P-GW connection to data network maintained after handover

EBDZAAM Mazen Abdel Latif | 2021-04-20 | Open | Page 6 of 22



P-GW: Packet Data Network Gateway

# High-Definition (HD) Mapping

- Highly-detailed road information and traffic rules
- Support assisted and automated driving algorithms
- Frequently updated information
- Commonly divided into tiles



### TCP Performance with Small Files

- Congestion control and maximum throughput "probing"
- Round-trip time determines how fast max throughput is reached → MEC reaches faster than public internet
- File size equals the integration of the curve over time



MEC: Mobile Edge Computing/Cloud

### TCP Performance with Small Files

- Congestion control and maximum throughput "probing"
- Round-trip time determines how fast max throughput is reached → MEC reaches faster than public internet
- File size equals the integration of the curve over time



MEC: Mobile Edge Computing/Cloud

- Large-scale test and trial network near Remerschen & Schengen, Luxembourg
- Two neighbouring non-standalone 5G networks
- Identical configurations:

Configuration	5G	4G
Band	n78 (3.7 GHz)	B28 (700 MHz)
Duplex mode	TDD 4:1 (DDDSU)	FDD
Bandwidth	40 MHz	2 x 10 MHz
Effective DL BW	~32 MHz	10 MHz

• 1.5 km road between sites, car drives back and forth @ 30 km/h



### Trials Setup

- Core network user plane nodes deployed in Luxembourg City
- Core network control plane nodes deployed at an Ericsson lab in Aachen, Germany
- Two servers for use cases:
  - MEC server in Luxembourg City
  - Public internet server in Frankfurt, Germany
- Handover does not change MEC server



#### • HD Maps Download:



Cross-border/-MNO handover disabled



Cross-border/-MNO handover enabled

#### • HD Maps Download:



Cross-border/-MNO handover disabled



Cross-border/-MNO handover enabled

- HD Maps Download:
  - Tile size ~ 6.7 MB: ~0.3 MB of actual data + 5 MB of padding + 25% increase of Base64 encoding
  - Max throughput: 50 Mbps, avg throughput: 37.6 Mbps for MEC, 32.3 Mbps for public internet
  - TCP behavior has larger effects than radio channel condition on throughput



- HD Maps Download:
  - Tile size ~ 6.7 MB: ~0.3 MB of actual data + 5 MB of padding + 25% increase of Base64 encoding
  - Max throughput: 50 Mbps, avg throughput: 37.6 Mbps for MEC, 32.3 Mbps for public internet
  - TCP behavior has larger effects than radio channel condition on throughput
- Maximum Channel Throughput:
  - Max throughput: 305 Mbps, 9.5 bps/Hz spectral efficiency





- Long interruptions in Connected and Automated Mobility (CAM) services lead to operational and safety problems for automated driving
- Cross-border/-MNO handover successfully prevented service interruptions:
  - All HD map tiles downloaded successfully after handover
  - Acceptable minor increase in ACCA application-level latency during handover (not presented)
  - Cross-MNO handover effect is similar to other handovers and comparable to common performance fluctuation
- Future work:
  - New use case: Tele-operated Driving with cross-border/-MNO handover
  - Use Local Breakout roaming

EBDZAAM Mazen Abdel Latif | 2021-04-20 | Open | Page 16 of 22



- Handover:
  - Handover decision based on 4G anchor signal quality



- Handover:
  - Handover decision based on 4G anchor signal quality
  - Higher fluctuation in 5G due to higher frequency
  - Min SINR values: 12 dB in 4G, -5 dB in 5G



- HD Maps Download:
  - Tile size ~ 6.7 MB: ~0.3 MB of actual data + 5 MB of padding + 25% increase of Base64 encoding
  - Avg throughput: 37.6 Mbps for MEC, 32.3 Mbps for public internet
  - Max throughput: 50 Mbps (1 s duration)
  - TCP behavior has larger effects than radio channel condition on throughput



# Connected and Automated Mobility (CAM) Services

- Anticipated Cooperative Collision Avoidance (ACCA):
  - Collect and distribute critical event messages to cars in a relevant area: e.g., hazard warnings, stationary vehicle, trafficjam
  - Cars can anticipate events undetectable in onboard sensor readings
  - Events can be detected by involved vehicles, passing vehicles, or processing in the server



- ACCA use case:
  - Car generates hazard message every ~5 seconds
  - Server relays message back to the car, message latency is measured
  - Application-Level Latency:
    - Average latency: 18.4 ms
    - At handover: 32 ms and 43 ms
    - Low probability of transmitting/receiving during handover for one car/user, more likely with higher number of users

